



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF  
**ENERGY**

**Nuclear Energy**

---

## **Minimizing the Use of HEU in Medical Isotope Production**

**Dr. Peter Lyons  
Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy  
U.S. Department of Energy**

**National Nuclear Security Administration  
Mo-99 Topical Meeting  
Santa Fe, NM  
December 5, 2011**



## Background Issues for Production of Mo-99

- **Short Mo-99 half-life - continuous production is needed**
  
- **Mo-99 produced largely at 40+ year-old nuclear reactors**
  - Age occasionally leads to equipment failures and outages
  - Reactors not designed or funded for Mo99 production
  
- **Mo-99 is produced at only 5 processing facilities worldwide, in cooperation with 8 research reactor facilities.**
  - 3 of the 5 processing facilities use HEU targets to produce Mo-99, one utilizes LEU targets, and one (South Africa) is in the process of converting to LEU.
  - 3 of the 8 research reactors use HEU fuel
  
- **HEU use in Mo-99 production**
  - Proliferation risk
  - HEU becoming less available
  - Many countries have committed not to use HEU for civilian applications



# The Schumer Amendment Energy Policy Act of 1992

- **Requires that foreign producers who receive HEU from the United States cooperate in converting to LEU-based production by imposing the following restrictions:**
  
- **Allows the NRC to issue a license for the export of HEU to be used as a fuel or target only if the Commission determines that**
  - **there is no alternative nuclear reactor fuel or target enriched in the isotope 235 to a lesser percent than the proposed export that can be used in the reactor;**
  - **the proposed recipient has provided assurances that, whenever an alternative nuclear reactor fuel or target can be used in that reactor, it will use that alternative in lieu of HEU; and**
  - **the United States Government is actively developing an alternative fuel or target that can be used in that reactor.**

# The Burr Amendment Energy Policy Act of 2005

- Exempts certain HEU recipient countries, specifically Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, and the Netherlands, from some provisions of the Schumer Amendment.
- The NRC may issue an export license (including shipment to and use at intermediate and the ultimate consignees) to a recipient country for HEU for medical isotope production if the Commission determines that
  - A recipient country has supplied a letter to the U.S. assuring that any intermediate and the ultimate consignees will use the HEU solely to produce medical isotopes.
  - This HEU will be irradiated only in a reactor in the recipient country that—
    - uses an alternative nuclear reactor fuel; or
    - is the subject of an agreement with the U.S. to convert to an alternative nuclear reactor fuel when such fuel can be used in the reactor.

## Personal Involvement Senate Staff

- **The Schumer and Burr approaches presented well-intentioned extremes**
  - **Non-proliferation vs. cancer patient care**
- **Several small suppliers were progressing with use of LEU**
- **AECL claimed that use of LEU would produce too much waste and that large-scale production with LEU was not feasible.**
- **Canadian authorities had testified to the NRC in the early 1990s that conversion to LEU would be accomplished by 2000.**
- **Lobbying was extremely intense on all sides.**
- **Working with other Office staff, a “compromise” was developed that eventually became Section 630 of EPACT ‘05.**
  - **This compromise was sharply attacked at the time.**

# Energy Policy Act of 2005

## Section 630, Subsection b.(4)

**The National Academy of Sciences shall conduct a study for the Department of Energy (DOE) on production of medical isotopes without HEU to determine:**

- 1. The feasibility of adequately supplying medical isotopes from commercial sources that do not use HEU.**
- 2. The current and projected domestic demand and availability of medical isotopes.**
- 3. The progress being made by the DOE and others to eliminate all use of HEU in medical isotope production facilities.**
- 4. The potential cost differential in medical isotope production if the products were derived from production systems that do not involve use of HEU.**



# Energy Policy Act of 2005

## Section 630, Subsection b.(4c, 5-7)

- **The Secretary of Energy must submit a report\* to Congress within 5 years containing the findings of the NAS study and disclosing commercial commitments to provide U.S. domestic requirements for medical isotopes without HEU.  
\*Completed August, 2010**
- **If the NAS study determines that it is feasible to procure the supplies of medical isotopes from non-HEU sources and the Secretary is unable to report the existence of commitments, the Secretary shall submit a report to Congress that describes options for developing domestic supplies of medical isotopes in quantities that are adequate to meet domestic demand without the use of HEU.**
- **When commercial facilities can meet domestic requirements for medical isotopes without using HEU (while staying within a 10% cost increase and without impairing the reliable supply of medical isotopes for domestic utilization), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a certification to that effect.**
- **After the Secretary submits this certification, the NRC shall terminate its review of export license applications**



# NAS Study Findings (Paraphrased)

## ■ Charge #1 – Feasibility

- LEU targets useful for large-scale production of Mo-99 have been developed and demonstrated and could be used to produce large quantities of medical isotopes for the U.S. market.
- At present time, insufficient quantities available to meet domestic needs.

## ■ Charge #2 – Demand and Availability

- Reliable availability of Mo-99 is impacting patient care.
- Conversion to LEU systems would remove policy uncertainties.
- Current suppliers utilize reactors built largely at government expense.
- Private companies might not compete without government assistance.

## ■ Charge #3 – Progress

- Substantial progress made through GTRI, but many challenges remain.

## ■ Charge #4 -- Cost Differential

- Cost increase to convert is <10% for at least 3 large producers.



# Personal Involvement Nuclear Regulatory Commission

- **Visits to Australian and South African LEU-based facilities**
- **Visit to Chalk River to review**
  - NRU situation
  - HEU storage facility
  - Progress on new processing facility
  - MAPLE reactor progress
- **Regulatory Issues- News Headlines**
  - Toronto Star: “PM blasted for firing of nuclear watchdog”
  - Ottawa Citizen: “Harper government fires Linda Keen over isotope crisis”
  - CBC News: “Nuclear watchdog head fired for ‘lack of leadership’: Minister [Gary Lunn]”



# Major Global Mo-99 Producers Current/Transition/Future

HEU

Non-HEU

NTP Radioisotopes  
(South Africa)

ANSTO  
(Australia)

Covidien  
(Netherlands)

IRE  
(Belgium)

AECL-Nordion  
(Canada)

NTP Radioisotopes  
(South Africa)

ANSTO  
(Australia)

Covidien  
(Netherlands)

IRE  
(Belgium)

AECL-Nordion  
(Canada)

NTP Radioisotopes  
(South Africa)

ANSTO  
(Australia)

Covidien  
(Netherlands)

IRE  
(Belgium)

## U.S. Domestic Mo-99 Projects



# Letter from NNSA Administrator D'Agostino to Representatives Fortenberry and Markey\*

## United States policy to:

- Minimize use of HEU in Mo-99 production.
- Develop non-HEU production in the United States.
- Transition Mo-99 market to full cost recovery.
- Establish U.S. supplies of Mo-99 without HEU within 5 years:
  - Encourage the current international Mo-99 supply chain to transition away from the use of HEU as rapidly as possible.
  - Support U.S. companies to accelerate existing projects to produce Mo-99 without HEU.
  - Support procurement of non HEU based Mo-99 by taking into account that current major international Mo-99 producers should be able to phase out the use of HEU within 5 years.
  - Counter the existing foreign subsidies for HEU- based production.

\* Dated September 28, 2011



# The American Medical Isotopes Production Act of 2011 (S.99)

- **The *American Medical Isotopes Production Act of 2011 (S.99)* - introduced by Senator Bingaman, January 2011 (H.R. 3276 in the last Congress).**
- **Directs DOE to establish a technology-neutral program:**
  - **To evaluate and support projects for the non-HEU-based U.S. production of Mo-99,**
    - in cooperation with non-Federal entities,
    - under a cost share arrangement.
- **Directs DOE to make leased LEU available for Mo-99 production and retain responsibility for the final disposition of the leased uranium and radioactive wastes created by the processes that do not have a commercial disposition pathway.**
  - **Of GTRI's domestic Mo-99 cooperative agreement partners, this would apply to B&W and Morgridge Institute for Research.**
- **Conditions and phases out the export of HEU for medical isotope production in 7-13 years.**

## CONCLUSIONS

- **Global Production of Mo-99 without HEU is closer to reality.**
- **The National Academy Report played a key role in enabling progress.**
- **Non-Proliferation goals are enhanced with this progress.**
- **The Secretary of Energy may soon be able to certify, as required in EPACT'05 , Sec. 630, Para. (7), that the Commission shall terminate its review of HEU export license applications.**